

1 DAVID T. BIDERMAN, Bar No. 101577  
2 JUDITH B. GITTERMAN, Bar No. 115661  
3 M. CHRISTOPHER JHANG, Bar No. 211463  
4 **PERKINS COIE LLP**  
5 Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400  
6 San Francisco, CA 94111-4131  
Telephone: (415) 344-7000  
Facsimile: (415) 344-7050  
Email: [DBiderman@perkinscoie.com](mailto:DBiderman@perkinscoie.com)  
Email: [JGitterman@perkinscoie.com](mailto:JGitterman@perkinscoie.com)  
Email: [CJhang@perkinscoie.com](mailto:CJhang@perkinscoie.com)

7 | Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

**NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION**

12 CLR HANSON INDUSTRIES, LLC d/b/a  
13 INDUSTRIAL PRINTING, and HOWARD  
STERN, on behalf of themselves and all others  
similarly situated,

**Plaintiffs,**

V.

GOOGLE, INC.,

**Defendant.**

CASE NO. C 05-03649 JW

**[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING  
PLAINTIFFS' SECOND MOTION TO  
SEAL PURSUANT TO CIV. L.R. 79-5(d)  
PORTIONS OF AMENDED  
DOCUMENTS (DOCUMENT NOS. 137-  
139)**

Date: June 11, 2007  
Time: 9:00 a.m.  
Dept.: Courtroom 8  
Judge: Honorable James Ware

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

---

[PROPOSED] ORDER

[PROPOSED] CRIM  
CASE NO. 05-03649

41063-0023/LEGAL13278101.1

[41063-0023-000000/13278101\_1.DOC]

## **ORDER**

The Court finds, having considered Plaintiffs CLRB Hanson Industries, LLC, d/b/a Industrial Printing, and Howard Stern's Second Motion To Seal Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 79-5(d) Portions Of Amended Documents Due To Confidentiality Designations By Defendant Google Inc. ("Plaintiffs' Second Motion to Seal Amended Documents") (Document Nos. 137-139), the Declaration of M. Christopher Jhang In Response To Plaintiffs' Second Motion to Seal Amended Documents, and the papers submitted therewith and arguments raised therein, and good cause appearing:

9       1. That the redactions (i.e., sealing as confidential documents) requested in  
10 Plaintiffs' Second Motion to Seal Amended Documents, in connection with Plaintiffs' Amended  
11 Supplemental Memorandum In Support Of Plaintiffs' Motion For Partial Summary Judgment  
12 ("Plaintiffs' Amended Supplemental Memorandum") and Amended Supplemental Declaration of  
13 Michele F. Raphael ("Amended Supplemental Raphael Declaration"), are consistent with  
14 Google's confidentiality designations; and

15        2. That Google has demonstrated that Plaintiffs' Amended Supplemental  
16 Memorandum and the Amended Supplemental Raphael Declaration are subject to seal in  
17 accordance with its confidential designations because of overriding confidentiality interests that  
18 overcome the right of public access to the record in these documents.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED·

1. That Plaintiffs' Second Motion to Seal Amended Documents is GRANTED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: March 25, 2008

The Honorable James Ware  
United States District Judge